UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/576,150	04/13/2006	Arnold Blaut	4000-0002	5637
	7590 05/01/200 Z & KOSAKOWSKI, 1	EXAMINER		
1500 MÁIN ST. SUITE 912 SPRINGFIELD, MA 01115			ROSE, ROBERT A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3723	
•		·		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/01/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

•		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/576,150	BLAUT ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
·	Robert Rose	3723
The MAILING DATE of this communic Period for Reply	ation appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commu - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum state - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply w Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	AILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA f 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a replinication. utory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH rill, by statute, cause the application to become ABAN	ATION. ly be timely filed AS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	i on 4/13/06.7/13/06.	
	b)⊠ This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice	or allowance except for formal matter	
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are pending in the app		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are	e withdrawn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		·
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restricti	ion and/or election requirement	
	,	
Application Papers	_	
9) The specification is objected to by the		All a Francisco
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are:		
Applicant may not request that any object	•	• •
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including to 11) The oath or declaration is objected to		• •
	by the Examiner. Note the attached t	Since Action of form F10-132.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	or foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).
1. Certified copies of the priority d	•	
	locuments have been received in App	olication No
 Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internation 	f the priority documents have been real Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	eceived in this National Stage
* See the attached detailed Office action	for a list of the certified copies not re	ceived.
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	. 4) Interview Sun	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT: 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date SEE ITEM 6		Mail Date rmal Patent Application 6.7/13/06

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06) Application/Control Number: 10/576,150

Art Unit: 3723

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

1. Receipt is acknowledged of Applicant's Prior Art Statements, filed April 13, 2006, and July 13, 2006, respectively. It is noted that the references in the IDS filed July 13, 2006 appear to be irrelevant to the claimed invention, and have not been considered.

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In claim 1, line 2 the phrase "the partial cut" is without a proper antecedent basis. In claim 1, line 10 the phrase "the full cut" lacks an antecedent basis. In claim 2, line 3 "the reciprocating motion" is without antecedent support. In claim 3, line 2 "the partial cut" lacks an antecedent basis. In claim 4, line 2 "the full cut" lacks an antecedent basis. In claim 5, lines 2-3 "the partial cut" and "the full cut" lack antecedent support.
- 4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Klink et al. The article "Honen von Zylinderbohrungen aus GGV" appears to show boring of cylinders with a first step of rough honing with an eccentrically disposed honing tool, and expansion of the tool as the eccentricity of the bore tends toward zero. Note figure

Application/Control Number: 10/576,150

Art Unit: 3723

5, which shows the initial eccentric relationship of the bore and honing tool axes prior to expansion of the tool.

Page 3

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Klink et al in view of Carmichael et al. Carmichael et al disclose the known use of coarse and fine honing elements disposed on a single tool for performing rough and fine honing in successive steps without the need for a second tool. To perform rough and fine honing in the method of Klink by the use of a single honing tool with separate rough and fine honing elements for use in succession, would have been obvious in view of Carmichael et al.
- 8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Baksay is cited to show a prior art method of correcting for eccentricity of a bore by grinding.
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert Rose whose telephone number is (571) 272-4494. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday, and on alternate Fridays from 8:00 to 5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Hail, can be reached at (571) 272-4485. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Robert Rose Primary Examiner Art Unit 3723

Rr

April 23, 2007.